



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/309,367	05/11/99	WILSON	K 241/036

JOHN M BENASSI  
LYON AND LYON LLP  
633 W FIFTH STREET SUITE 4700  
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066

PM11/0124

EXAMINER

VANAMAN, F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

13

DATE MAILED: 01/24/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/309,367**

Applicant(s)  
**Wilson**

Examiner  
**Frank Vanaman**

Group Art Unit  
**3611**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frank Vanaman

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Stephen Beuerle

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 23, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 18, in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant has suggested incorporating structural limitations from the allowed related application (09/206,720) into the claims. The examiner noted that this may render the claim scope unclear in that the claims are currently directed to method limitations, and applicant suggested instead submitting apparatus claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

 1/23/01

**FRANK VANAMAN**  
**Patent Examiner**  
**Art Unit 3106 3611**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.